



The Multi-suture Technique for Rotator Cuff Repair: A Biomechanical Evaluation

James Bicos, MD; Augustus D. Mazzocca, MD; Nadim Hallab, PhD; Stephen Santangelo; Bernard R. Bach, Jr, MD

Multi-suture fixation of supraspinatus rotator cuff tears provides excellent fixation and biomechanical failure characteristics.

Rotator cuff tears are a common cause of upper extremity pain and dysfunction. Nonoperative versus operative management has been debated

Dr Bicos is from JRSI Sports Medicine, St Vincent Medical Center, Indianapolis, Indiana; Dr Mazzocca and Mr Santangelo are from the Department of Orthopedics, University of Connecticut, Farmington, Connecticut; Dr Hallab is from the Research Department and Dr Bach is from the Sports Medicine Section, Department of Orthopedics, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois.

Drs Bicos, Hallab, and Bach have no direct financial in any products or companies mentioned in the article. Dr Mazzocca receives research support from Arthrex. ORTHOPEDICS was unable to determine whether Mr Santangelo has a direct financial interest to the products or companies mentioned or whether he is a paid consultant to any companies mentioned in the article.

Reprint requests: Bernard R. Bach, Jr, MD, Dept of Orthopedic Surgery, Rush University Medical Center, 1725 W Harrison St, Ste 1063, Chicago, IL 60612.

for many years. Open rotator cuff repair has yielded 85% to 95% success rates for long-term pain relief with a moderate improvement in function.¹⁻¹⁶ Mini-open rotator cuff repair has equally shown adequate pain relief but with improved functional results (93%) in medium-term follow-up studies.¹⁷⁻²¹ Arthroscopic treatment of rotator cuff repairs has continued to show improvement in techniques and results,²²⁻²⁶ but no technique has been shown to have better long-term results than the open rotator cuff repair model. It remains the basis for all other treatment comparisons.

Research has shown a 13% to 68% rate of fixation failure after primary rotator cuff repair.^{5,27,28} In addition, rotator cuff outcomes significantly decrease after re-repair, with 83% fair or poor results.²⁹ Therefore, with large rotator cuff tears the

importance of a stable primary repair construct cannot be over-emphasized, with the theory that a stronger repair is more likely to yield an intact repair.

Rotator cuff fixation techniques have been based on 3 factors: strength of tendon-to-bone fixation, strength of the suture itself, and strength of suture-to-tendon fixation. Tendon-to-bone fixation typically is divided between transosseous sutures (ie, the McLaughlin technique^{30,31}) and bone anchor techniques. Although literature has shown bone anchor techniques to be stronger than standard transosseous suture repairs,³²⁻³⁴ studies have shown that proper placement of transosseous tunnels into adequate cortical bone can alleviate this problem.³⁵⁻³⁷ In addition, by increasing the number of sutures crossing the repair site, fixation strength can exceed the maximal muscle contraction force across the rotator cuff crescent.^{38,39}

The multi-suture technique for open rotator-cuff repair has been described in the literature and its efficacy has been proven.^{14,40,41} However, no bio-

mechanical study objectively compared the results of the multi-suture technique to the open technique^{30,31} of rotator cuff repair. This article attempts to biomechanically evaluate the cyclic failure and pull-out strength of the multi-suture technique of rotator cuff repair in comparison to an open rotator cuff repair and a normal rotator cuff tendon model. Our hypothesis is that the greater number of sutures used in the multi-suture technique will disperse the force of the repair across a greater portion of the rotator cuff tendon and significantly increase the pull-out strength when compared to an open rotator cuff repair technique model (ie, the McLaughlin technique^{30,31}).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty-four fresh-frozen human cadaver shoulders were randomly divided among 3 experimental groups. A power analysis was performed with $\beta = .2$ to determine the number of specimens needed to determine a statistically significant difference. Group 1 consisted of the multi-suture technique

